MR. GOYAL: Is my understanding of how the IP works correct?

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. D'AMICO: Yes. In your example, Verizon would request an IP in this CO, and the CLEC would say I don't want to do that. I want to use my co-location for other purposes. case Verizon would take it to wherever, and the offset, that's where the transport offset comes That would be the UNE IOF rate backed into play. out of the recip comp.

MR. GOYAL: Just so I understand clearly, the offset language you would be referring to, do 13 you have a copy of the contract language in front of you?

> MR. D'AMICO: No.

MR. GOYAL: Would that be the language in 7.1.1.3 of the WorldCom proposed language, which I believe is analogous to similar language proposed to the other petitioners; is that correct? 7.1.1.3, page five of the revised JDPL.

MR. D'AMICO: It says the lesser of Yes. the rate minus--minus the transport rate.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

MR. GOYAL: Is there similar language in 7.1.1.2, the paragraph immediately preceding?

MR. D'AMICO: Yes.

1

3

4

9

10

14

15

16

MR. GOYAL: Do these paragraphs address situations where the CLEC agrees to the establishment of a CLEC IP at a co-location site, or where it does not agree to the establishment of a CLEC IP at a co-location site?

MR. D'AMICO: It does not agree.

MR. GOYAL: Under the language in these paragraphs, there would not be a CLEC IP at a 12 co-location site in the Verizon central office in the hypothetical you were just describing; is that correct?

MR. D'AMICO: Correct, in A.

MR. GOYAL: Okay. So, if there were a 17 CLEC IP, going back to my original question, if 18 there were a CLEC IP in the Verizon central office, point A and a point of interconnection at the 20 | Verizon tandem B, how does Verizon get compensated 21 for the transport from the IP, the CLEC IP, to the 22 POI?

1

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

22

MR. D'AMICO: Again if the IP's here in A, 2 we would drop off the question -- we would drop off the traffic here; and if the IP were here, we would drop it off here.

> ARBITRATOR ATTWOOD: "Here" being B?

MR. D'AMICO: "Here" being B.

You are losing me on what you're trying--

I'm just trying to figure out MR. GOYAL: 9 how the transport, the compensation to Verizon for 10 originating transport for calls it delivers to CLECs works under the GRIPs or VGRIPs proposals, and my understanding is that -- well, am I correct in my understanding that Verizon gets compensated for the transport from the CLEC IP to the POI, Verizon provisions that -- am I correct in understanding that Verizon provisions that transport, delivers the

call to the POI, and then gets compensated for the

MR. D'AMICO: Correct.

transport from the CLEC IP to the POI?

MR. EDWARDS: Could I ask a clarifying.

21 I'm sorry, I lost the track.

The question you're just asking is at A,

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

the IP is there, and there is a co-location arrangement there.

> MR. GOYAL: Right.

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

14 |

16

19

And your question is that if MR. EDWARDS: there is co-location arrangement at A and that becomes the IP, the transport you're asking about is from A to B, between the IP and the POI?

MR. GOYAL: Correct.

Your question is whether MR. EDWARDS: Verizon or the CLEC is responsible for that transport?

Well, I believe my question MR. GOYAL: assumed that the CLEC would be responsible for compensating Verizon, and I believe the witness 15 testified that that's his understanding as well.

MS. FARROBA: Why don't we just get the 17 witness to explain what happens at that point 18 between A and B.

Let me try to start over. MR. D'AMICO: 20 If the CLEC IP is at Verizon central office A, 21 | Verizon is responsible to get its traffic to that 22||location. So, if calls are coming from, I guess,

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

1 first of all, from this Verizon CO, Verizon is 2 going to have a direct trunk route from that CO, 3 | for traffic from that CO to that location; okay? 4 And then beyond that -- so, that's Verizon's responsibility.

From the co-location arrangement in Verizon COA, the CLEC is responsible to get that traffic back to their switch, so that's one example.

6

9

10

11

12 l

13

19

20

MS. PREISS: Okay, now, tell us how the CLEC could do that. What are its options, what does it do, who does it pay?

MR. D'AMICO: Verizon is responsible to The CLEC has the typical options get our traffic. 15 | from their co-location arrangement back to their 16∥switch that are self-provisioning, purchasing from a third party, UNE transport. They could even buy special access from point to point, I guess, if they wanted to.

So, that's kind of the UNE world or the 21 transport world. The interconnection world is 22 | Verizon is going to drop it off at this co-location 1 arrangement.

2

7

10 l

11

16

18

MS. PREISS: So, even though the point of 3 interconnection in this example is at B, the 4 Verizon tandem, the IP is at A, the point of 5 II interconnection is at B, I think that's the 6 | question - -

MR. D'AMICO: For this traffic that originates from this end office from Verizon office $9 \parallel A$, the POI is actually going to be at the Verizon CO A.

MS. FARROBA: No, I think what our 12 hypothetical was -- the question was, if the IP is at 13∥the Verizon central office A, and the point of 14 interconnection is at B, the Verizon tandem, so 15 that's the hypothetical we have given you.

MS. PREISS: How--maybe we are really just confused, but we going to clear it up somehow.

I thought the GRIPs proposal and the 19 VGRIPs proposal didn't change the CLEC's ability to 20∥designate the Verizon tandem as its point of 21 interconnection. What it gives the Verizon the 22 option to do is say, okay, regardless of where the

1 point of interconnection is, we are designating a CLEC IP at the CLEC co-location arrangement in Verizon end office A. So now you've got an IP in A, a POI in B. Verizon drops off the traffic at the CLEC co-location arrangement in A. But the point of interconnection is still at B. the traffic get from A to B and then presumably on to the CLEC switch at C?

And if the question makes no sense, maybe 10 | you need to tell us why our questions don't make What are we missing? sense.

9

11

12

18

19

20

22

MR. D'AMICO: That's a good question. Let me start from the very beginning. We have a Verizon tandem. Under VGRIP, the CLEC needs to 15 have an IP at the Verizon tandem. So there is a co-location arrangement; we have all this traffic going to this tandem co-location arrangement. Okay?

In this particular case, the CLEC has no other co-los at any other end office. So, in that situation, all the traffic Verizon is going to deliver to this particular tandem.

Then the CLEC establishes a co-lo in one 2 of Verizon's offices, and so right now the POI and the IP are right here.

1

3

4

5

6

7

11

14

16

19

Here in B? ARBITRATOR ATTWOOD:

MR. D'AMICO: Here in B. I talk with my hands and not with the letters.

So, with the initial situation, the IP and the POI are at the Verizon tandem at B. Then this 9 CO comes along, and they have a co-location arrangement there. Verizon may request that that become an IP for traffic from this end office, so 12 what will happen is you still have a POI here, and 13 you still have a CLEC IP--

MS. FARROBA: POI at the Verizon tandem 15 which is B?

MR. D'AMICO: At Verizon B, which is the And you still have the CLEC IP or a CLEC 17 tandem. 18 | IP at the Verizon tandem at B. But for traffic from this end office, because the Verizon 20 recognizes the volumes of this traffic, and they 21 already have facilities there, what Verizon is going to do, instead of sending this traffic to

this IP and POI at the Verizon tandem in B, it's 2 basically going to strip that traffic off, send it to the co-location arrangement in A, at Verizon CO, and again it all ends up back the at CLECs.

5

15

MS. FARROBA: I have a question on that. 6 | At the point where there is an IP in the Verizon central office, which in this diagram is A because there's a certain level of traffic in that central office, and so you peel off the traffic and send it 10 | to the co-location space, why can't it go back from point A, the central office, to point B, the Verizon tandem, and then to the CLEC switch? Whv does it have to go on a separate facility from A, the Verizon central office, to C, the CLEC switch?

Well, in that example, MR. D'AMICO: Verizon has requested an IP at the Verizon CO under A, and the CLEC says fine, we physically drop the traffic off, and then they haul it however they If they say no, I do not want to do that, then we would still continue to send that traffic to the co-location arrangement in the Verizon tandem at B, and that is where the tandem offset

would take place. So, maybe that's the answer to 2 your question.

MS. FARROBA: Yes, that's the answer we were trying to get clarified.

3

4

5

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

MR. GOYAL: And just to try to get one 6∥last bit of clarification, if the CLEC agrees to 7 transition the co-location arrangement at the Verizon central office at point A, if the CLEC agrees to transition that to a CLEC IP, does that mean that the CLEC then establishes facilities between points A and B, either in the form of building facilities, leasing them from a third 13 party or leasing them as UNEs?

MR. D'AMICO: I quess there's a couple of different ways they could --

MR. GOYAL: Or between A and C?

MR. D'AMICO: Yeah. It's up to them. They could probably do cage to cage, they could do just a straight shot. I mean, that would be their 20 routing.

MR. GOYAL: So to reiterate a question I asked earlier, is there any situation where the

1 establishment of a CLEC IP does not involve dedicated CLEC-purchased facilities on one side of the IP?

MR. D'AMICO: No, not that I could think of.

Mr. D'Amico, when a CLEC does MR. GOYAL: not establish an IP at the Verizon central office 8 co-location space, the CLEC IP, under the language $9 \parallel \text{in } 7.1.1.2 \text{ and } 7.1.1.3, \text{ is there language that}$ allows Verizon to recover an offset to its 11 | reciprocal compensation payments equal to Verizon's transport rate, tandem switching rate, and other This is in 7.1.1.3, and 7.1.1.2. costs?

MR. D'AMICO: Is there a provision to do 15 that?

> MR. GOYAL: Right.

5

6

14

16

17

18

20

MR. D'AMICO: Yes.

MR. GOYAL: Can you explain how that 19 offset works.

MR. D'AMICO: Well, when the CLEC bills Verizon for the traffic that we terminate, they 22 would back that out of the charges that Verizon

pays to the CLEC.

2

3

4

10

13

14

17

20

21

MR. GOYAL: Is that a metered rate, a metered transport rate?

MR. D'AMICO: Well, that's a good point. Normally, the IOF rate is a fixed and per mile, so 6 | we really haven't gotten, I guess, far enough to a point where it's actually occurred where the CLEC tries to do that based on minute of use space by making some mileage assumptions or actually backs it out based on the facilities. Probably logistically, some type of average minute of use backout would be the easiest, but as I said, we haven't really gotten to that point yet.

MR. GOYAL: Is there a particular methodology Verizon would use to determine that 16 rate?

MR. D'AMICO: You look at the average mileage or the mileage between that point and use the fixed and per mile UNE IOF rate and then divide some assumed minutes of use into that.

MR. GOYAL: One question about local 22 versus toll.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

How does Verizon determine whether a call is local versus toll for traffic it routes between two Verizon customers and then how does it determine whether traffic is local versus toll for traffic routed between a Verizon and a CLEC customer? And does it only--with respect to--I'm sorry, if I'm asking too many questions. I could take them one by one, if it's easier.

1

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

The first question: How does Verizon determine whether traffic is local versus toll for traffic between two Verizon end users?

MR. D'AMICO: I'm not sure I'm the expert on that, but our local and toll are based on local exchange tariffs for Verizon calling. But again, I'm not sure I'm the right witness for those kinds of questions.

MR. GOYAL: Can you answer the question with respect to traffic routed between a Verizon end user and a CLEC end user in either direction?

MR. D'AMICO: Again, that's a little bit

21 out of my area. I would rather not take some 22 guesses.

MS. FARROBA: Actually, I think what we 2∥have is a hypothetical on the way GRIPs or VGRIPs 3 works that we are trying to ask about.

1

4

15 l

16

18

21

MR. STANLEY: I quess the question we are 5 looking for is how the compensation arrangements 6 \| would work when--in both directions when a call 7∥originates from a Verizon customer in one local 8 calling area and terminates with a CLEC customer in 9 another local calling area, and also vice versa, 10 when it originates with a CLEC customer in one calling area and goes to a Verizon customer in 12 another calling area. So, I think what might be 13 useful is to go off of these diagrams. So, perhaps 14 the first question could be off of the diagram on the right, which is AT&T Exhibit --

MR. DYGERT: I think what we want is 17 Exhibits 33 and 34.

MR. STANLEY: Actually, if we could just 19∥hold on for a second, we will ask questions based 20 on AT&T Exhibits 31 through 34.

MR. DYGERT: Do you all have copies of the 22 exhibits that have AT&T's marks on them?

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

MR. D'AMICO: Yes.

MR. GOYAL: Do you have a copy of AT&T

3 Exhibit Number 33?

1

2

4

5

6

7

11

13

15

16

17

201

21

MR. D'AMICO: Yes.

MR. GOYAL: What does this diagram depict?

MR. D'AMICO: Okay. And this was

discussed yesterday, and this is, I guess, a good opportunity for me to mention that I think yesterday right at the very end the question was, does this matter if this is local or toll, and I said, well, I don't think it does, but I remember we were talking about recip comp, and so obviously if we were talking about reciprocal comp in those examples, then city A and city B would have to be in the same local calling area.

So, I apologize for --

MR. GOYAL: What would happen if city A and city B--let me just finish the question--what would happen if city A and city B were in different local calling areas?

MR. D'AMICO: From--if they were in different local calling areas from a routing VGRIP

perspective or from a reciprocal compensation 2 perspective, or both?

3

5 |

6

11

13 l

16

17

19

MR. STANLEY: Just from a perspective of how the compensation would work, who would pay what.

MR. D'AMICO: Well, if it's between two local calling areas, then that would be an intra-LATA toll call, and the originating party would pay the terminating parties terminating 10 access.

Could you just walk us MR. STANLEY: through on this diagram, and being specific for the record, starting with the Verizon customer in city A, this is AT&T Exhibit Number 33, starting with the Verizon customer in city A and moving down towards the terminating CLEC customer on the lower left, could you just explain with respect to the different segments.

Sure. Verizon would--the MR. D'AMICO: 20∥Verizon customer would originate the call in city $21\|A$, and the number that they were calling would be 22∥an intra-LATA toll call, and so Verizon would

1 deliver it to the CLEC IP, and then--

MR. STANLEY: Where is the CLEC IP on

this? 3

2

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

19

20

MR. D'AMICO: Well, again, in this example, the CLEC IP is at the Verizon end office.

That's your assumption, so MR. STANLEY: why don't you explain it. Assuming that the CLEC IP is at the Verizon end office, why don't you go ahead.

Okay. Verizon would drop it MR. D'AMICO: off at that location, and then the CLEC would take it to city B.

MR. STANLEY: And how would the CLEC take it to city B?

MR. D'AMICO: Through their infrastructure. They would probably have something connecting their cage in the Verizon CO or their co-location arrangement back to their--back to their switch.

This isn't really--because this is--it's 21 going from an intra-LATA toll perspective, this 22 isn't the, I guess, the classic example of a VGRIP.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

MR. ALBERT: Doesn't it make a difference if Verizon is the intra-LATA toll provider versus a CLEC or even some other carrier--is the intra-LATA full carrier?

1

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In this example, assuming or MR. D'AMICO: saying that the Verizon--Verizon is the intra-LATA toll provider.

MS. DAILEY: Is there someone on this panel who can explain how this would work under the current compensation regime?

MR. STANLEY: Mr. Talbott had his hand up first.

MR. TALBOTT: There is a simple and straightforward answer to Mr. Stanley's question, and it looks like this: The traffic, how it is transported, is identical for intra-LATA toll and The parties' language, both local traffic. parties' languages do does not distinguish for purposes of transport between local and intra-LATA 20 toll. So, for all the lines you see on those AT&T diagrams are the same for both local and intra-LATA 22 toll.

1

8

9

12

13

17

19

22

What is different is once the traffic has 2 reached the POI, what are the compensation methods 3 for paying for the termination of that traffic? Ιf 4 it's a local call, then it would be reciprocal comp 5 termination and transport. If it's intra-LATA toll, then it would be subject to the terminating parties' exchange access tariffs.

MR. GOYAL: Why is the point of interconnection the demarcation point where the parties' would determine which compensation regime would apply, access versus reciprocal compensation?

MR. TALBOTT: FCC rules make the POI the demarcation between originating parties' obligation to provide interconnection facilities, transport 15 | its traffic, and the point at which reciprocal comp 16 would be due.

MS. FARROBA: Is that the way that GRIPs 18 and VGRIPs proposal works?

MR. TALBOTT: Of course not. The IP is a 20 | neutering of POI, and no wonder the staff is 21 confused.

> MS. FARROBA: Wait just a second.

we kind of watch our language. What we are trying 2 to understand is the way the compensation would 3∥work under GRIPs and VGRIPs, and what I have heard you say, I believe is what AT&T's position is on 5 these issues. I quess what we are trying to find 6 out on the particular question we were asking was how compensation is affected under GRIPs and VGRIPs, and if you were going to -- if you could respond on that first, I think that would be 10 helpful.

MR. TALBOTT: To my knowledge, there is no disagreement between Verizon and AT&T that the transport facilities, whether it would be under 14 GRIP, VGRIP, or AT&T's proposal, would be identical 15 for local and intra-LATA toll. The difference 16 would be in the compensation once the traffic was handed off to the other party and Verizon's proposal at the IP, any intra-LATA toll call would be subject to charges under their exchange access 201 tariff.

11

12

13

21

MS. FARROBA: First let me get WorldCom. 22 I think you were waiting to say something.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

MR. BALL: Yeah, I have been waiting a long time.

If you don't mind, I would like to draw a 4 | picture because I think I can explain how the compensation will be impacted by VGRIPs versus the current.

ARBITRATOR ATTWOOD: Is there a way for you to do that based on AT&T's exhibits without drawing on their picture?

> MR. BALL: I would really rather draw.

ARBITRATOR ATTWOOD: But can you do it on

12 AT&T's pictures?

1

2

3

6 1

7

10

11

13

15

17

18

MR. BALL: I really would prefer it to drawing on pictures.

MS. FARROBA: This would be WorldCom 16 Exhibit --

> MS. KELLEY: 48.

MR. BALL: What I'm going to do is I will draw picture A, which will represent our current 20 | interconnection arrangements, and I will draw the 21 picture B, which will draw the -- at least our 22∥understanding of how GRIPs would alter the current

1 arrangements.

2

5 I

6

7

9

10

12

13

18 l

19

21

So, under the current arrangements, and I think the current arrangements are actually very 4∥simple, and they're very effective, and they're very fair.

And the current just has a single point of interconnection, and on one side is Verizon where they have multiple end office switches.

And on the other side is the CLEC who generally has a single switch or two switches covering a much larger geographic area with much longer loops.

So, under the current arrangement, a CLEC The call is customer calls a Verizon customer. 15 routed through the CLEC network, handed off at the 16 POI, and routed--then Verizon picks up the call and routes it through its network to the Verizon customer.

If the CLEC customer and the Verizon customer are both within the same local calling area, the--billing fee, Verizon billing system compares the NXXs and determines they are both

within the local calling area and that's billed in as reciprocal compensation.

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

19

20

If the CLEC customer calls the Verizon customer and the Verizon billing system determines that they're outside of the local calling area, that is put on a bill as an intra-LATA toll call and access charges are applied.

The POI does not determine that.

Meaning that CLEC will pay MS. PREISS: 10 | terminating access to Verizon for the call that originates on the CLEC network?

MR. BALL: Yes, and the FCC rules make that distinction. They say if the call is jurisdictionally local, reciprocal compensation If the call is a toll call, then existing applies. access charges apply.

That's a loose paraphrase of MS. PREISS: the Commission's rules. We don't use local anymore.

Now, vice versa, if the Verizon MR. BALL: 21 customer called the CLEC customer, and they're both 22 within local calling area, our billing system does

1 the comparison of the originating phone number and 2 the terminating phone number, determines they're 3∥within their local calling area, and bills Verizon 4 reciprocal compensation; and also if they're 5∥outside of the local calling area, then they bill 6 an access charge to Verizon.

MS. PREISS: When the CLEC is comparing 8 the NXXs to determine whether the call originated or terminated in the local calling area, is that 10 | Verizon's local calling area as set forth in its 11 | tariffs?

7

12

14

16

18

20

MR. BALL: Yes, our local calling areas 13 match Verizon's local calling areas.

MS. DAILEY: Is that true for the other CLECs as well in Virginia? 15

DR. COLLINS: With respect to Cox, not in 17 every case.

MS. DAILEY: And AT&T, perhaps somebody 19 from AT&T?

MR. TALBOTT: We have a number of 21∥entities, and some do and some do not represent for 22 your four local phone companies.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

MR. STANLEY: Which ones would not -- which of your entities?

1

2

3

5 1

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

21

I believe it might be the MR. TALBOTT: AT&T communication entity does not, and I will need that to be subject to check, and the TCG entities, I believe, do. Again, subject to check.

MS. DAILEY: So, did I understand the witness to testify that the point of interconnect is not the location where the access reciprocal compensation distinction is made, that it's done by comparing the NXXs?

MR. BALL: That's correct. It's purely It's not the jurisdictional nature of the call. where the call, the handoff physically is.

MS. FARROBA: Now, your understanding of GRIPs and VGRIPs.

MR. BALL: I'm now drawing picture B, which is an identical picture.

Now, under this situation, Verizon now 20 has, instead of having a single point of interconnection, there are now points of 22 interconnection for--and I am still somewhat

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

1 confused as to the difference between GRIPs and 2 VGRIPs, so I'm going to generalize somewhat, and 3 I'm sure Verizon can correct me if I have 4 overgeneralized, to mix the two.

5

15

19

20

21

But as a general matter, Verizon wants a 6 | new what they're calling an interconnection point 7 out at some undetermined amount of end offices out 8 here, which are farther out from the POI. We will 9∥go through the same call flows. When a CLEC 10 originates the call to the Verizon customer, the 11 CLEC still pays the exact same reciprocal 12 compensation to Verizon. If it's a local call, he 13 still pays to transport the call from the POI out That hasn't changed at all. to the customer.

MS. PREISS: To be precise, the reciprocal 16 compensation that Verizon charges a CLEC in this example will cover the cost of the transport on the 18 Verizon side of the POI, and any end office--with the end office switching?

> MR. BALL: Yes.

If that call is an intra-LATA toll call, it's the same calculation with the phone numbers, and an access charge will be billed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13 l

16

20

The difference now is it's really for when Verizon delivers a call to the CLEC. Now, the call, if this is not actually a physical point, and this is, and this is my understand of--

> ARBITRATOR ATTWOOD: "This" being the IP?

If this MR. BALL: "This" being the IP. isn't actually a physical point, if it's just a one of these financial points, the call would still be routed from this customer to the CLEC through the exact same network as we have.

The difference is Verizon would deduct from their reciprocal compensation payment their estimation of the cost of getting the call from the IP to the POI.

So, the real impact is the CLEC's reciprocal compensation and access payments would 18 be reduced because Verizon has moved the financial point of responsibility from here out to here.

MS. PREISS: Do you understand the GRIPs and/or VGRIPs proposal, then, to do the same thing with respect to an intra-LATA toll call, that

Verizon would offset the CLEC's terminating access charge by some UNE inner office facility charge for the transport from the IP in the Verizon end office to the POI?

MR. BALL: That would be my expectation.

I haven't seen any.

5

6

7

9

12

13

14

18

19

21

22

MS. PREISS: Mr. D'Amico, could you answer that question?

MR. D'AMICO: No, we would not offset the intra-LATA toll fees because Verizon is getting intra-LATA toll from its customers and it would have no need to back out any kind of transport.

Again, it's applied to recip comp.

MS. PREISS: So, with GRIPs and VGRIPs, if it's an intra-LATA toll call, Verizon will assume both the physical and financial responsibility of delivering the call to the point of interconnection and will pay terminating access to the CLEC?

MR. D'AMICO: Yes, we will pay terminating access on that.

Again, the VGRIP is associated with recip comp traffic.

MR. GOYAL: One issue I want to clarify is as a network routing issue, what I have been trying to figure out is when is local traffic between Verizon and the CLEC routed to CLEC switch located at a distant point of interconnection, and when is it not?

1

3

5

6

7

10

11

13

15

1.8

19

Actually, maybe I will start with Verizon, but the first question I have is, is CLEC UNE-P traffic, would that ever get routed in Verizon's network any differently than calls between Verizon local customers? In other words, would that ever be routed to a remote CLEC switch?

I'm not real familiar with MR. D'AMICO: UNE-P and how that works. Sorry.

We would handle the routing MR. ALBERT: for calls originated from UNE-P lines the same way that we would handle the routing calls originating from our own lines.

MR. GOYAL: So, in what circumstances would Verizon deliver Verizon-originated traffic 21 for CLEC termination to a remote CLEC switch? What 22 types of calls would those be? And when Verizon

responds, I would like to get you guys' input on that.

3

5

11

12

17

21

22

MR. ALBERT: The CLECs were in essence, and I will simplify it a little bit, have NXXs, telephone number codes that they associate with particular switches. You want to get a little bit more precise. There then can be different POIs where the physical require wires meet that the transport facilities can pass over that are connecting the switches, but if you're thinking of where is the call originated and where is the call terminated, from the switch perspective, you just kind of leave the transport as a bit of a cloud, it's really the NXX code of the terminating number that's being called that determines what switch will route it to.

So, really, the switch routing between all carriers, their customers calling us, our customers calling them, the NXX codes are what determine where that switch that call will be taken to.

MR. GOYAL: Why don't I start with WorldCom. Could WorldCom explain in its

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 735 8th STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666 understanding when a Verizon-originated call would be routed to WorldCom switch located at a point of interconnection that's possibly outside the same local calling area as a Verizon customer?

3

4

5

8 II

9

11

12

13

18 l

19

He's just looking for what MR. STANLEY: type of WorldCom customers? What type of WorldCom services would we be talking about here that involve routing to a remote WorldCom switch.

MR. GOYAL: When we say routing, we mean the physical transmission of the call to that switch.

> Say it one more time, please. MR. GRIECO:

MR. STANLEY: I think he's just looking for what type of situations -- what type of WorldCom 15∥services or what type of WorldCom customers would we be talking about here. We are obviously not talking about WorldCom UNE-P, so what type of situations would we be talking about here?

MR. GRIECO: Well, as Mr. Albert said, the NXX of our customers called by the NXX of their customer, and it goes from their end office switch 22||to our POI with maybe one of these IP things in the

middle somewhere. And then we take it from our POI to our end office switch and then transport it from our end office switch to our customer.

Now, from a routing perspective of the networks, it's where their end office is and where our end office is really kind of irrelevant to where the end user customers are.

MR. GOYAL: Maybe I could try to rephrase the quo.

Is it WorldCom's understanding that every category of WorldCom customer other than UNE-P customers would be physically switched by WorldCom switch?

> MR. GRIECO: Yes.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

Resale would look the same as MR. ALBERT: UNE-P, if you want to cover the full gamut, so resale and UNE-P you could put looking the same almost working off of Verizon's switches, and then 19 it's all the others would be drawing dial tone from the CLEC switch.

Do the other petitioners have MR. GOYAL: 22 anything to add to that?

DR. COLLINS: If Cox could be allowed to 2 provide its perspective some of these issues, we would appreciate it.

1

4

14

First of all, Cox is solely a 5 | facility-based provider, which is an important 6 distinction between those that use UNE platforms 7 and those that do resale and those that use 8 | combinations. Cox has made the capital investment to jump across those two financial 10 | barrier-mitigating avenues to competition as has 11 been provided by the '96 Act; that is, resale and 12 use of UNEs and UNE-P platform. Cox has jumped 13 right in the full facility-based.

As a result of that, our needs are 15 somewhat different than those that are in the 16 resale phase or the UNE phase. Our needs are much 17 less, and those needs are reflected in these 18 diagrams and I want to just clarify what they are. 19 Our needs are much less, but also much more 20 critical. If, in fact, Cox or any other facility-based carrier is going to be sustained in the marketplace, if those needs are not met, then